Fluctuation Analysis of the Non-Abelian Born-Infeld Action in Background Intersecting D-branes

Satoshi NAGAOKA*

Institute of Physics, University of Tokyo, Tokyo 153-8902, Japan

(Received October 1, 2003)

We carry out a fluctuation analysis of the non-abelian Born-Infeld action up to order F^6 in the presence of a background intersecting D-branes system. We compare the mass spectrum which is obtained in our analysis to the spectrum derived from the worldsheet analysis. The mass spectra completely agree with each other. This result provides a strong support for the claim that the action we analyze here, which was proposed in hep-th/0208044 by Koerber and Sevrin, is the correct low energy effective action of string theory.

arXiv:hep-th/0307232v4 1 Dec 2003

§1. Introduction

It is very important to consider D-brane dynamics in string theory. For slowly varying fields, the low energy dynamics of a D-brane is described by the Dirac-Born-Infeld action. For N coincident D-branes, the gauge group becomes $U(N)$ and the leading low energy limit is described as the $U(N)$ super Yang-Mills theory.[1] It is desirable to know the full non-abelian effective action for slowly varying fields. There are some reasons for the difficulty to describe an explicit form of the non-abelian Born-Infeld action. The main problem in this regard is the ordering ambiguity. The field strengths do not commute with each other, and the ordering of terms can not be determined without further information. In addition, there is also ambiguity involved in expressing the non-abelian Born-Infeld action in terms of either field strength or covariant derivatives. Therefore the expansion under slowly varying fields becomes ambiguous. It was proposed that higher order corrections are given by the non-abelian generalization of the Born-Infeld action with a symmetrized trace.[2] There are some problems with this proposal at order F^6, that is, the fluctuation spectrum of this action in a constant magnetic background differs from the spectrum obtained by the worldsheet analysis,[3] as pointed out in Ref. 4). The mass spectrum was further examined in Ref. 5), and a new method to determine the non-abelian Born-Infeld action was developed in Ref. 6). The authors of that work started from BPS solutions to the Yang-Mills action that define stable holomorphic bundles and considered deformation of the Yang-Mills action. They required that stable holomorphic bundles satisfy the equations of motion and constructed higher order terms of F from lower order terms. In the abelian case, they found that the deformation is given uniquely by the abelian Born-Infeld action. This method has been applied to construct the F^5 non-abelian effective action.[7] This action has been examined by the fluctuation analysis in a magnetic background,[8] and agreement with the worldsheet analysis has been found. This action was also

* E-mail: nagaoka@hep1.c.u-tokyo.ac.jp

confirmed through direct calculation of the open superstring 5-point amplitude.[9] F^6 non-abelian Born-Infeld terms were also proposed in Ref. 10) in the same way, but no tests have yet been carried out up to this order.

Recently, we considered the recombination in non-supersymmetric intersecting D-branes system at one angle in the Yang-Mills Higgs theory.[11)**] In that system, the tachyon mode localized at the intersection point which connects two D-strings condenses and that causes the recombination. In Ref. 11), we obtained the correct mass of the tachyon mode in the limit of small intersection angle. Therefore, by comparing the mass spectrum obtained in this analysis employing the action with higher F corrections to the result obtained from the worldsheet analysis, we can examine the non-abelian Born-Infeld action.

In this paper, we examine the non-abelian Born-Infeld action at order F^6 proposed in Ref. 10) by carrying out fluctuation analysis in the background intersecting brane system. This action has terms with covariant derivatives that cannot be eliminated by the field redefinition and necessarily remain. Therefore, the action at this order becomes much more complicated than that of lower orders, F^4 or even F^5. Therefore, analysis up to this order is desirable in order to claim that the method proposed in Ref. 6) is appropriate. In §2, we present the mass spectrum which was obtained by the worldsheet analysis and also summarize the fluctuation analysis for the F^2 term and the F^4 terms in this system. In §3, we calculate the mass spectrum of the fluctuations for the action with the F^5 terms. In §4, we calculate the mass spectrum for the action with the F^6 terms. Most of the calculations presented here were carried out using Mathematica. Section 5 is devoted to discussion. A detailed calculation of the F^6 action is given in Appendix A.

§2. Fluctuation analysis up to $\mathcal{O}(F^4)$

Before considering the fluctuation analysis, we present the mass spectrum of a string stretching between two D-strings intersecting at angle θ that is obtained by the worldsheet analysis.[3)] This is given by

$$m_n^2 = \left(n - \frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{\theta}{\pi\alpha'} \ , \tag{2.1}$$

where n is $0, 1, \cdots$. In this section, we briefly explain the fluctuation analysis for the Yang-Mills action and for the non-abelian Born-Infeld action up to $\mathcal{O}(F^4)$. These were discussed in Ref. 11) in detail.

2.1. *Fluctuation analysis in the intersecting brane system*

Let us consider the low energy superstring effective action without Born-Infeld corrections, i.e., the Yang-Mills action. The (2+1) dimensional SU(2) Yang-Mills action is given by

$$S = -\frac{1}{4}\mathrm{Tr}\int dt d^2x F_{i_1 i_2}^2 \ . \tag{2.2}$$

** The classical decay of unstable intersecting D-branes was also discussed in Ref. 12).

The worldvolume coordinates are taken as 0,1 and 2. By taking the T-duality along the 2 direction, we obtain the Lagrangian with transverse scalar fields in the bulk as[***]

$$L_0 = -\mathrm{Tr}\left(\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}^2 + \frac{1}{4}(D_\mu \Phi)^2\right) \ , \tag{2.3}$$

where $\mu, \nu = 0, 1$, and the covariant derivative is defined as

$$D_\mu \Phi = \partial_\mu \Phi - i[A_\mu, \Phi] \ . \tag{2.4}$$

This Lagrangian constitutes the low energy description of two D-strings.

Now, we consider the intersecting D-strings background and discuss fluctuation around this background. The reason that we treat the Yang-Mills Higgs Lagrangian which emerges through dimensional reduction from the original Yang-Mills Lagrangian by taking the T-duality is because this results in calculation that is simpler than that in the case of the original Yang-Mills Lagrangian. The classical solution that represents the intersecting D-strings is given by

$$\Phi = qx\sigma^3, \quad A_\mu = 0 \ . \tag{2.5}$$

This solution represents D-strings intersecting at an angle $\theta \equiv 2\tan^{-1}(2\pi\alpha' q)$.

The fluctuations we turn on here are expressed as

$$\Phi = qx\sigma^3 + f(x_\mu)\sigma^1, \quad A_1 = g(x_\mu)\sigma^2 \ . \tag{2.6}$$

There is another combination of off-diagonal fluctuations for which $\Phi \propto \sigma^2$ and $A_1 \propto \sigma^1$, but it is sufficient to consider only the fluctuations (2.6), because other fluctuations decouple from these ones at the quadratic level.[†] The Lagrangian quadratic in the fluctuations is given by

$$\tilde{L}_0 = \left[(\partial_t f)^2 + (\partial_t g)^2\right] - \left[(\partial_x f)^2 + 4qfg - 4q(\partial_x f)gx + 4q^2 g^2 x^2\right] \ . \tag{2.7}$$

The equations of motion for this Lagrangian are obtained as

$$\hat{O}_0 \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix} = 0 \ , \tag{2.8}$$

where the differential operator $\hat{O}_0$ is written

$$\hat{O}_0 = -2 \begin{pmatrix} -\partial_x^2 + \partial_t^2 & 4q + 2qx\partial \\ 2q - 2qx\partial & 4q^2x^2 + \partial_t^2 \end{pmatrix} \ . \tag{2.9}$$

Expanding the fluctuations in the mass eigen functions,

$$\begin{aligned} f(x,t) &= \Sigma u_n(x) C_n(t) \ , \\ g(x,t) &= \Sigma v_n(x) C_n(t) \ , \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

[***] We have absorbed the factor $2\pi\alpha'$ of the second terms through a field redefinition of Φ.

[†] These two combinations correspond to the modes connecting two branes with opposite directions. In the analysis of the non-abelian Born-Infeld action which is discussed later, we assume that the combination of the fluctuations f and g decouple from other fluctuations at the quadratic level.

the equation of motion for the fluctuation fields of the spatial part is obtained as

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\partial_x^2 & 4q+2qx\partial_x \\ 2q-2qx\partial_x & 4q^2x^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_n \\ v_n \end{pmatrix} = m_n^2 \begin{pmatrix} u_n \\ v_n \end{pmatrix} , \tag{2.11}$$

and the decomposed field $C_n(t)$ satisfies the free field equation

$$(\partial_t^2 + m_n^2) C_n(t) = 0 , \tag{2.12}$$

with the mass eigenvalues m_n. The mass squared m_n^2 can be obtained by solving (2.11), and the result is

$$m_n^2 = \left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right) 4q = \left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{2\tan(\theta/2)}{\pi\alpha'} . \tag{2.13}$$

The corresponding normalizable eigen functions are obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} u_n(x) &= e^{-qx^2} \sum_{j=0,2,\cdots}^{n} (-1)^{\frac{j}{2}} \frac{2^j}{j!} \frac{n(n-2)\cdots(n-j+2)}{2n-1} (2n-j-1) \left(x\sqrt{q}\right)^j , \\ v_n(x) &= -e^{-qx^2} \sum_{j=0,2,\cdots}^{n} (-1)^{\frac{j}{2}} \frac{2^j}{j!} \frac{n(n-2)\cdots(n-j+2)}{2n-1} (j-1) \left(x\sqrt{q}\right)^j , \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

for $n = 0, 2, \cdots$, and

$$\begin{aligned} u_n(x) =& e^{-qx^2} \Big(\sqrt{q}x \\ &+ \sum_{j=3,\cdots}^{n} (-1)^{\frac{(j-1)}{2}} \frac{2^{(j-1)}}{j!} \left(n-\frac{j+1}{2}\right) (n-3)\cdots(n-j+2) \left(x\sqrt{q}\right)^j \Big) , \\ v_n(x) =& - e^{-qx^2} \sum_{j=1,3,\cdots}^{n} (-1)^{\frac{(j-1)}{2}} \frac{2^{(j-1)}}{j!} \left(\frac{j-1}{2}\right) (n-3)\cdots(n-j+2) \left(x\sqrt{q}\right)^j , \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

for $n = 3, 5, \cdots$. Considering the lowest mode $n = 0$, the mass squared is negative and given by

$$m_0^2 = -2q = -\frac{\tan(\theta/2)}{\pi\alpha'} . \tag{2.16}$$

The corresponding eigen functions are Gaussian and given by

$$\begin{aligned} u_0(x) &= e^{-qx^2} = \exp\left[-\frac{\tan(\theta/2)}{2\pi\alpha'}x^2\right] , \\ v_0(x) &= -e^{-qx^2} = -\exp\left[-\frac{\tan(\theta/2)}{2\pi\alpha'}x^2\right] . \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

The mass tower (2.13) is expanded in θ as

$$m_n^2 = \left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \left(\theta + \mathcal{O}(\theta)^3\right) . \tag{2.18}$$

Therefore, comparing (2.18) to (2.1), we conclude that the string mass spectrum is obtained correctly at $\mathcal{O}(\theta)$ in the Yang-Mills analysis.

2.2. F^4 *corrections*

We consider the non-abelian Born-Infeld corrections at order F^4 in this subsection. We can obtain the F^4 terms by expanding the Lagrangian

$$L = \mathrm{Str}\sqrt{-\det(\eta_{i_1 i_2} + 2\pi\alpha' F_{i_1 i_2})}\ , \tag{2.19}$$

and the result is

$$L_2 = \mathrm{Str}(2\pi\alpha')^2 \left(\frac{1}{8} F_{i_1 i_2} F_{i_2 i_3} F_{i_3 i_4} F_{i_4 i_1} - \frac{1}{32} F_{i_1 i_2} F_{i_2 i_1} F_{i_3 i_4} F_{i_4 i_3} \right)\ , \tag{2.20}$$

where Str denotes the symmetrized trace.

For the Lagrangian up to this order, we take the T-duality along the 2 direction and carry out the fluctuation analysis, which is the same as that given in the previous subsection. The classical solution is identical to (2.5), because we consider the diagonalized solution. The Lagrangian quadratic in the fluctuations is obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{L} = \tilde{L}_0 + \tilde{L}_2 = &\left(1 - \frac{1}{6}(2\pi\alpha' q)^2\right) \left[(\partial_t f)^2 + (\partial_t g)^2\right] \\ &- \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}(2\pi\alpha' q)^2\right) \left[(\partial_x f)^2 + 4qfg - 4q(\partial_x f)gx + 4q^2 g^2 x^2\right]\ , \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

and the corresponding equations of motion for f and g are

$$\left(\hat{O}_0 + \hat{O}_2\right) \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix} = 0\ , \tag{2.22}$$

where the differential operator derived from the F^4 terms is written

$$\hat{O}_2 = (2\pi\alpha' q)^2 \begin{pmatrix} -\partial_x^2 & 4q + 2qx\partial \\ 2q - 2qx\partial & 4q^2 x^2 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{3}(2\pi\alpha' q)^2 \begin{pmatrix} \partial_t^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \partial_t^2 \end{pmatrix}\ . \tag{2.23}$$

When we compare this fluctuation Lagrangian (2.21) to the Yang-Mills result (2.7), we find that the form of the mass term is unchanged, except for an overall factor. Therefore, the eigen functions are unchanged, and the modification emerges in the spacing of the mass tower as follows:

$$m_n^2 = (2n-1)2q \left(1 - \frac{1}{3}(2\pi\alpha' q)^2\right) = \left(n - \frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{\theta}{\pi\alpha'} + \mathcal{O}(\theta^5)\ . \tag{2.24}$$

Therefore, we obtain the correct $\mathcal{O}(\theta^3)$ corrections.

§3. F^5 corrections

The non-abelian Born-Infeld Lagrangian at order F^5 was proposed in Ref. 10) as[††]

$$L_3 = -\mathrm{Str}(2\pi\alpha')^3 \frac{\zeta(3)}{2\pi^3} \mathrm{Tr}([D_{i_3}, D_{i_2}] D_{i_4} F_{i_5 i_1} D_{i_5} [D_{i_4}, D_{i_3}] F_{i_1, i_2})\ . \tag{3.1}$$

[††] The overall sign is different from that in Ref. 10). This results from the difference in the choice of the basis. We choose a hermitian basis here, and they chose an anti-hermitian basis.

As in the analysis given in the previous section, we take the T-duality and consider off-diagonal fluctuations around the background intersecting brane system at angle θ. A straightforward calculation leads to the Lagrangian quadratic in the fluctuations as

$$\tilde{L} = \tilde{L}_0 + \tilde{L}_2 + \tilde{L}_3 \ , \tag{3.2}$$

and the contribution from the Lagrangian of the F^5 term is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{L}_3 = &- (2\pi\alpha')^3 \frac{\zeta(3)}{2\pi^3} q^2 \\ &\cdot \left(-32\dot{f}^2 q^2 x^2 - 8\ddot{f}^2 + 8(\dot{f}'^2 - 8\dot{f}'\dot{g}qx + 4\dot{g}^2 q^2 x^2) - 8\ddot{g}^2 - 8\dot{g}'^2 \right) \ ,\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where $\dot{f}(x,t)$ and $f'(x,t)$ denote the derivatives of $f(x,t)$ with respect to t and x respectively. The equations of motion for this Lagrangian are given by

$$\left(\hat{O}_0 + \hat{O}_2 + \hat{O}_3 \right) \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix} = 0 \ , \tag{3.4}$$

where the F^5 part of the differential operator is written

$$\hat{O}_3 = -16(2\pi\alpha')^3 \frac{\zeta(3)}{2\pi^3} q^2 \partial_t^2 \begin{pmatrix} 4q^2x^2 - \partial_t^2 + \partial_x^2 & -4q(1 + x\partial_x) \\ 4qx\partial_x & -\partial_t^2 - \partial_x^2 - 4q^2x^2 \end{pmatrix} \ . \tag{3.5}$$

To find the solution of (3.4), let us consider the form of the solution as

$$\begin{aligned} f_0^{\rm sol}(x,t) &= e^{-qx^2} e^{im_0^{\rm sol} t} \\ g_0^{\rm sol}(x,t) &= -e^{-qx^2} e^{im_0^{\rm sol} t} \\ (m_0^{\rm sol})^2 &= -2q \left(1 - \frac{1}{3}(2\pi\alpha' q)^2 + a_1 (2\pi\alpha' q)^3 + b_1 (2\pi\alpha' q)^4 \right) + \mathcal{O}(q^6) \ , \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

where we ignore the normalization constant. We have taken the spatial dependence of the solution to be equivalent to the tachyon mode of the leading order result (2.17). Therefore, this solution should correspond to the tachyonic lowest mode. This solution satisfies the equations of motion (3.4) when $a_1 = b_1 = 0$ up to $\mathcal{O}(q^5)$. However, what we want to obtain is the mass for the equations of motion (3.4), and therefore we must diagonalize the ∂_t operators in (3.5) so that they are proportional to $\partial_t^2 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{1}}$. We carry out this procedure through the field redefinition given by[†††]

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{f} \\ \tilde{g} \end{pmatrix} = \left(\hat{\mathbf{1}} + 4(2\pi\alpha')^3 \frac{\zeta(3)}{2\pi^3} q^2 \begin{pmatrix} -\partial_t^2 + 4q^2x^2 & -4qx\partial_x \\ 0 & -\partial_t^2 - \partial_x^2 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(q^6) \right) \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix} \ . \tag{3.7}$$

Under this transformation, the time derivative operators in the equations of motion (3.4) become space derivative operators, and the redefined fields $\tilde{f}$ and $\tilde{g}$ obey the deformed equations of motion

$$\left(\hat{O}_0 + \hat{O}_2 + \hat{O}_3' \right) \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{f} \\ \tilde{g} \end{pmatrix} = 0 \ , \tag{3.8}$$

[†††] In (3.7), $\mathcal{O}(q^6)$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{O}\left((2\pi\alpha')^6\right)$.

where $\hat{O}'_3$ is the differential operator deformed through the field redefinition and is given by

$$\hat{O}'_3 = -16(2\pi\alpha')^3\frac{\zeta(3)}{2\pi^3}q^2 \begin{pmatrix} 4q^2x^2\partial_x^2+8q^2x\partial_x+4q^2 & -4q^3x^3\partial_x-8q^3x^2-qx\partial_x^3-2q\partial_x^2 \\ 4q^3x^3\partial_x+4q^3x^2+qx\partial_x^3+q\partial_x^2 & -4q^2x^2\partial_x^2-8q^2x\partial_x-4q^2 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(q^7). \tag{3.9}$$

The time dependence of the solution (3.6) remains unchanged under the field redefinition (3.7), and therefore the redefined solution is easily obtained by substituting (3.6) into (3.7) as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}_0^{\rm sol} &= \left(1-8(2\pi\alpha' q)^3\frac{\zeta(3)}{2\pi^3}(1+2qx^2)+\mathcal{O}(q^6)\right)e^{-qx^2}e^{im_0^{\rm sol}t}\ , \\ \tilde{g}_0^{\rm sol} &= -\left(1-16(2\pi\alpha' q)^3\frac{\zeta(3)}{2\pi^3}qx^2+\mathcal{O}(q^6)\right)e^{-qx^2}e^{im_0^{\rm sol}t}\ . \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

We obtain $a_1 = b_1 = 0$ again, which are now the corrections for the mass eigenvalue[‡] by solving the equations of motion (3.8) up to $\mathcal{O}(q^5)$. Therefore, the action at order F^5 gives no contribution to the mass of the lowest tachyon mode at both $\mathcal{O}(\theta^4)$ and $\mathcal{O}(\theta^5)$.

Next, we consider the F^5 correction for the massive mode. The ansatz we consider here is

$$\begin{aligned} f_n^{\rm sol}(x,t) &= u_n^{\rm sol}(x)C_n^{\rm sol}(t)\ , \\ g_n^{\rm sol}(x,t) &= v_n^{\rm sol}(x)C_n^{\rm sol}(t)\ , \\ C_n^{\rm sol}(t) &= e^{im_n^{\rm sol}t}\ , \\ (m_n^{\rm sol})^2 &= (4n-2)q\left(1-\frac{1}{3}(2\pi\alpha' q)^2+a'_{n1}(2\pi\alpha' q)^3+b'_{n1}(2\pi\alpha' q)^4\right)+\mathcal{O}(q^6)\ , \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

where $u_n^{\rm sol}(x)$ and $v_n^{\rm sol}(x)$ are the solutions of the Yang-Mills analysis given in (2.14) and (2.15). This solution satisfies the equations of motion (3.4) when $a'_{n1} = b'_{n1} = 0$ up to $\mathcal{O}(q^5)$. The field redefinition (3.7) leads to the equations of motion (3.8), and the redefined solution for the massive mode is represented by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}_n^{\rm sol} &= \left(u_n^{\rm sol}+\frac{1}{2}(2\pi\alpha' q)^2\left(-16qu_n^{\rm sol}+32q^2x^2u_n^{\rm sol}-32qx\partial_x v_n^{\rm sol}\right)\right)e^{im_n^{\rm sol}t} \\ &= e^{im_n^{\rm sol}t}\left[\ u_n^{\rm sol}(x)-8(2\pi\alpha' q)^3\frac{\zeta(3)}{2\pi^3}e^{-qx^2}\right. \end{aligned}$$

[‡] There is some ambiguity in the field redefinition that diagonalizes the time derivative operator in (3.7). This is due to the fact that we can choose whether to absorb the $\dot{f}\dot{g}$ term through the redefinition of f or g. When we change the form of the field redefinition, the redefined operator also changes, and there is no ambiguity in the corresponding mass eigenvalue up to this order.

$$\left(1+\sum_{j=2,4,\cdots}^{n+2}(-1)^{\frac{j}{2}}\frac{2^j}{j!}\frac{n(n-2)\cdots(n-j+4)}{2(2n-1)}\right.$$
$$\left.(-4-j+j^3+6n-4jn-2j^2n+4n^2)(x\sqrt{q})^j\right)\Bigg],$$

$$\tilde{g}_n^{\rm sol}=\left(v_n^{\rm sol}+\frac{1}{2}(2\pi\alpha' q)^2\left(-16qv_n^{\rm sol}-8\partial_x^2 v_n^{\rm sol}\right)\right)e^{im_n^{\rm sol}t}$$
$$=e^{im_n^{\rm sol}t}\Bigg[\,v_n^{\rm sol}(x)+4(2\pi\alpha' q)^3\frac{\zeta(3)}{2\pi^3}e^{-qx^2}$$
$$\left(-\frac{4n}{2n-1}+\sum_{j=2,4,\cdots}^{n+2}(-1)^{\frac{j}{2}}\frac{2^j}{j!}\frac{n(n-2)\cdots(n-j+4)}{2n-1}\right.$$
$$\left.(13j-4j^2-j^3-8n+4jn+4j^2n-4n^2-4jn^2)(x\sqrt{q})^j\right)\Bigg], \qquad (3.12)$$

for $n=0,2,\cdots$, and

$$\tilde{f}_n^{\rm sol}=e^{im_n^{\rm sol}t}\Bigg[\,u_n^{\rm sol}(x)+8(2\pi\alpha' q)^3\frac{\zeta(3)}{2\pi^3}e^{-qx^2}$$
$$\left(-x\sqrt{q}+\frac{2(n-5)}{3}(x\sqrt{q})^3+\sum_{j=5,7,\cdots}^{n+2}(-1)^{\frac{j-1}{2}}\frac{2^j}{j!}\frac{(n-3)(n-5)\cdots(n-j+4)}{8}\right.$$
$$\left.(4+j-j^3-6n+4jn+2j^2n-4n^2)(x\sqrt{q})^j\right)\Bigg],$$

$$\tilde{g}_n^{\rm sol}=e^{im_n^{\rm sol}t}\Bigg[\,v_n^{\rm sol}(x)+4(2\pi\alpha' q)^3\frac{\zeta(3)}{2\pi^3}e^{-qx^2}$$
$$\left(-4x\sqrt{q}+\frac{8(2n-3)}{3}(x\sqrt{q})^3-\sum_{j=5,7,\cdots}^{n+2}(-1)^{\frac{j-1}{2}}\frac{2^j}{j!}\frac{(n-3)(n-5)\cdots(n-j+4)}{4}\right.$$
$$\left.(3j-20j^2+9j^3+8n+4jn-12j^2n+4n^2+4jn^2)(x\sqrt{q})^j\right)\Bigg], \qquad (3.13)$$

for $n=3,5,\cdots$. These solutions satisfy the redefined equations of motion (3.8) if $a'_{n1}=b'_{n1}=0$. Therefore, we conclude that the F^5 action (3.1) does not contribute to the mass spectrum up to $\mathcal{O}(q^5)$ which agrees with the mass spectrum obtained by the worldsheet analysis.

§4. F^6 corrections

The non-abelian Born-Infeld Lagrangian of F^6 terms proposed in Ref. 10) is written

$$L_4 = L_{4,1} + L_{4,2} + L_{4,3} \ , \tag{4.1}$$

where $L_{4,1}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} L_{4,1} =& (2\pi\alpha')^4 \mathrm{Str} \sum_{n=1}^{3} L_{41n} \ , \\ L_{411} =& \frac{1}{12} F_{i_1 i_2} F_{i_2 i_3} F_{i_3 i_4} F_{i_4 i_5} F_{i_5 i_6} F_{i_6 i_1} \ , \quad L_{412} = -\frac{1}{32} F_{i_1 i_2} F_{i_2 i_3} F_{i_3 i_4} F_{i_4 i_1} F_{i_5 i_6} F_{i_6 i_5} \ , \\ L_{413} =& \frac{1}{384} F_{i_1 i_2} F_{i_2 i_1} F_{i_3 i_4} F_{i_4 i_3} F_{i_5 i_6} F_{i_6 i_5} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

$L_{4,2}$ is given by [‡‡]

$$\begin{aligned} L_{4,2} =& -(2\pi\alpha')^4 \mathrm{Str} \sum_{n=1}^{8} L_{42n} \\ L_{421} =& -\frac{1}{24} F_{i_1 i_2} D_{i_1} D_{i_6} D_{i_5} F_{i_2 i_3} D_{i_6} F_{i_3 i_4} F_{i_4 i_5} \ , \\ L_{422} =& -\frac{1}{48} F_{i_1 i_2} D_{i_5} D_{i_6} F_{i_2 i_3} D_{i_6} D_{i_1} F_{i_3 i_4} F_{i_4 i_5} \ , \\ L_{423} =& \frac{1}{24} F_{i_1 i_2} [D_{i_6}, D_{i_1}] D_{i_5} F_{i_2, i_3} F_{i_3, i_4} D_{i_4} F_{i_5 i_6} \ , \\ L_{424} =& \frac{1}{16} D_{i_4} D_{i_5} F_{i_1 i_2} F_{i_2 i_3} [D_{i_6}, D_{i_1}] F_{i_3 i_4} F_{i_5 i_6} \ , \\ L_{425} =& \frac{1}{24} D_{i_6} [D_{i_4}, D_{i_5}] F_{i_1 i_2} F_{i_2 i_3} D_{i_1} F_{i_3 i_4} F_{i_5 i_6} \ , \\ L_{426} =& \frac{1}{24} D_{i_6} D_{i_5} F_{i_1 i_2} [D_{i_6}, D_{i_1}] F_{i_2 i_3} F_{i_3 i_4} F_{i_4 i_5} \ , \\ L_{427} =& \frac{1}{24} [D_{i_6}, D_{i_1}] D_{i_3} D_{i_4} F_{i_1 i_2} F_{i_2 i_3} F_{i_4 i_5} F_{i_5 i_6} \ , \\ L_{428} =& \frac{1}{48} [D_{i_6}, D_{i_4}] F_{i_1 i_2} F_{i_2 i_3} [D_{i_3}, D_{i_1}] F_{i_4 i_5} F_{i_5 i_6} \ , \end{aligned}$$

and $L_{4,3}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} L_{4,3} =& (2\pi\alpha')^4 \mathrm{Str} \sum_{n=1}^{8} L_{44n} \ , \\ L_{441} =& \frac{1}{1440} D_{i_6} [D_{i_4}, D_{i_2}] D_{i_5} D_{i_5} [D_{i_1}, D_{i_3}] D_{i_6} F_{i_1 i_2} F_{i_3 i_4} \ , \end{aligned}$$

[‡‡] Again, the signs of some terms here are different from those in Ref. 10), as in the case of the F^5 action. This also results from the difference in the choice of the basis. Apart from this, there is a typo in Ref. 10) that the overall sign of the F^6 terms flips. This typo is corrected in Ref. 14).

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{442} &= \frac{1}{360} D_{i_2} D_{i_6} [D_{i_4}, D_{i_1}][D_{i_5}, [D_{i_6}, D_{i_3}]] D_{i_5} F_{i_1 i_2} F_{i_3 i_4} \ , \\
L_{443} &= \frac{1}{720} D_{i_2} [D_{i_6}, D_{i_4}][D_{i_6}, D_{i_1}] D_{i_5} [D_{i_5}, D_{i_3}] F_{i_1 i_2} F_{i_3 i_4} \ , \\
L_{444} &= \frac{1}{240} D_{i_2} [D_{i_6}, D_{i_4}] D_{i_5} [D_{i_6}, D_{i_1}][D_{i_5}, D_{i_3}] F_{i_1 i_2} F_{i_3 i_4} \ ,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{445} &= \frac{1}{360} D_{i_6} D_{i_5} [D_{i_6}, D_{i_4}][D_{i_5}, D_{i_1}][D_{i_4}, D_{i_3}] F_{i_1 i_2} F_{i_2 i_3} \ , \\
L_{446} &= \frac{1}{360} D_{i_6} D_{i_5} [D_{i_4}, D_{i_2}][D_{i_6}, D_{i_1}][D_{i_5}, D_{i_3}] F_{i_1 i_2} F_{i_3 i_4} \ , \\
L_{447} &= \frac{1}{360} D_{i_6} [D_{i_5}, D_{i_4}][D_{i_3}, D_{i_2}][D_{i_5}, [D_{i_6}, D_{i_1}]] F_{i_1 i_2} F_{i_3 i_4} \ , \\
L_{448} &= \frac{1}{720} [D_{i_6}, D_{i_1}][D_{i_2}, D_{i_6}][D_{i_5}, D_{i_4}][D_{i_5}, D_{i_3}] F_{i_1 i_2} F_{i_3 i_4} \ . \qquad (4.3)
\end{aligned}
$$

$L_{4,1}$ is the symmetrized trace part of the non-abelian Born-Infeld action, and $L_{4,2}$ comprises the terms with four covariant derivatives and $L_{4,3}$ with eight covariant derivatives.

After taking the T-duality, we consider off-diagonal fluctuations around the background intersecting D-branes at an angle θ, as in the analysis given in the previous section. A straightforward but lengthy calculation leads to the Lagrangian quadratic in the fluctuations as

$$
\tilde{L} = \tilde{L}_0 + \tilde{L}_2 + \tilde{L}_3 + \tilde{L}_{4,1} + \tilde{L}_{4,2} + \tilde{L}_{4,3} \ , \qquad (4.4)
$$

and the contributions from the F^6 terms are given by

$$
\tilde{L}_{4,1} = (2\pi\alpha' q)^4 \left(\frac{3}{40}(\dot{f}^2 + \dot{g}^2) - \frac{3}{8}(4fgq + (f' - 2gqx)^2) \right) \ , \qquad (4.5)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{L}_{4,2} + \tilde{L}_{4,3} = -(2\pi\alpha' q)^4 \Bigg(& \frac{1}{45} \left(4fgq + (f' - 2gqx)^2\right) \\
& - \frac{1}{45} x^2 (\dot{f}' - 2q\dot{g}x)^2 - \frac{1}{180q^2} \left(4\dot{f}' q \dot{g}' + (\dot{f}'' - 2q\dot{g}' x)^2\right) \\
& + \frac{1}{45} x^2 (f'' - 2qg'x - 4qg)^2 + \frac{2}{45} x^2 q^2 (f - xf' + 2qgx^2)^2 \\
& + \frac{1}{360q^2} (f''' - 2qg''x - 6qg')^2 + \frac{1}{360q^2} (\ddot{f}' - 2\ddot{g}qx)^2 - \frac{1}{18q} \ddot{f}\ddot{g} \Bigg) \ . \qquad (4.6)
\end{aligned}
$$

We present this calculation term by term (19 terms) in detail in Appendix A. The equations of motion for the total Lagrangian quadratic in the fluctuations are obtained as

$$
\left(\hat{O}_0 + \hat{O}_2 + \hat{O}_3 + \hat{O}_{4,1} + \hat{O}_{4,2} + \hat{O}_{4,3}\right) \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix} = 0 \ , \qquad (4.7)
$$

where $\hat{O}_{4,1}$ and $\hat{O}_{4,2}+\hat{O}_{4,3}$ are given by

$$\hat{O}_{4,1}=-\frac{3}{4}(2\pi\alpha' q)^4\begin{pmatrix} -\partial_x^2 & 4q+2qx\partial \\ 2q-2qx\partial & 4q^2x^2 \end{pmatrix}-\frac{3}{20}(2\pi\alpha' q)^4\begin{pmatrix} \partial_t^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \partial_t^2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.8}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{O}_{4,2}+\hat{O}_{4,3}=&\frac{(2\pi\alpha' q)^4}{180}\\ &\times\Bigg[16q^2x^2\begin{pmatrix} -4+4x\partial_x+x^2\partial_x^2 & -12qx^2-2qx^3\partial_x \\ -2qx^2+2qx^3\partial_x & -4q^2x^4 \end{pmatrix}\\ &\quad+8\begin{pmatrix} -\partial_x^2-4x\partial_x^3-x^2\partial_x^4 & 2q(2+13x\partial_x+8x^2\partial_x^2+x^3\partial_x^3) \\ 2q(-1+x\partial_x-x^2\partial_x^2-x^3\partial_x^3) & q^2(4x^2+16x^3\partial_x+4x^4\partial_x^2) \end{pmatrix}\\ &\quad+\frac{1}{q^2}\begin{pmatrix} \partial_x^6 & -12q\partial_x^4-2qx\partial_x^5 \\ -2q\partial_x^4+2qx\partial_x^5 & 4q^2(4\partial_x^2-4x\partial_x^3-x^2\partial_x^4) \end{pmatrix}\\ &+\frac{1}{q^2}\partial_t^2\begin{pmatrix} 16q^2x\partial_x+8q^2x^2\partial_x^2-2\partial_x^4 & -48q^3x^2-16q^3x^3\partial_x+12q\partial_x^2+4qx\partial_x^3 \\ 16q^3x^3\partial_x-4qx\partial_x^3 & -32q^4x^4+16q^2x\partial_x+8q^2x^2\partial_x^2 \end{pmatrix}\\ &\quad+\frac{1}{q^2}\partial_t^4\begin{pmatrix} \partial_x^2 & 8q-2qx\partial_x \\ 10q+2qx\partial_x & -4q^2x^2 \end{pmatrix}\Bigg]\ . \end{aligned}\tag{4.9}$$

The first term in (4.9) is derived from the fifth term in the Lagrangian (4.6), the second term from the first and fourth terms, the third term from the sixth term, the fourth term from the second and third terms, and the fifth term from the seventh and eighth terms. The form of the solution we consider here is

$$\begin{aligned} f_0^{\rm sol}(x,t)&=e^{-qx^2}e^{im_0^{\rm sol}t}\ ,\\ g_0^{\rm sol}(x,t)&=-e^{-qx^2}e^{im_0^{\rm sol}t}\ ,\\ (m_0^{\rm sol})^2&=-2q\left(1-\frac{1}{3}(2\pi\alpha' q)^2+a(2\pi\alpha' q)^4\right)+\mathcal{O}(q^6)\ . \end{aligned}\tag{4.10}$$

By substituting the solution (4.10) into the equations of motion (4.7), we obtain the result

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{O}_0\begin{pmatrix} f_0^{\rm sol} \\ g_0^{\rm sol} \end{pmatrix}&=\left(\frac{4q}{3}\left((2\pi\alpha' q)^2-3a(2\pi\alpha' q)^4\right)+\mathcal{O}(q^6)\right)\begin{pmatrix} f_0^{\rm sol} \\ g_0^{\rm sol} \end{pmatrix},\\ \hat{O}_2\begin{pmatrix} f_0^{\rm sol} \\ g_0^{\rm sol} \end{pmatrix}&=\left(-\frac{4q}{3}\left((2\pi\alpha' q)^2+\frac{1}{6}(2\pi\alpha' q)^4\right)+\mathcal{O}(q^6)\right)\begin{pmatrix} f_0^{\rm sol} \\ g_0^{\rm sol} \end{pmatrix},\\ \hat{O}_3\begin{pmatrix} f_0^{\rm sol} \\ g_0^{\rm sol} \end{pmatrix}&=\mathcal{O}(q^6)\begin{pmatrix} f_0^{\rm sol} \\ g_0^{\rm sol} \end{pmatrix},\\ \hat{O}_{4,1}\begin{pmatrix} f_0^{\rm sol} \\ g_0^{\rm sol} \end{pmatrix}&=\left(\frac{6q}{5}(2\pi\alpha' q)^4+\mathcal{O}(q^6)\right)\begin{pmatrix} f_0^{\rm sol} \\ g_0^{\rm sol} \end{pmatrix},\\ \left(\hat{O}_{4,2}+\hat{O}_{4,3}\right)\begin{pmatrix} f_0^{\rm sol} \\ g_0^{\rm sol} \end{pmatrix}&=\left(-\frac{8q}{45}(2\pi\alpha' q)^4+\mathcal{O}(q^6)\right)\begin{pmatrix} f_0^{\rm sol} \\ g_0^{\rm sol} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}\tag{4.11}$$

and summing up all the equations leads to

$$\frac{4q}{3}\big((2\pi\alpha' q)^2 - 3a(2\pi\alpha' q)^4\big) - \frac{4q}{3}\big((2\pi\alpha' q)^2 + \frac{1}{6}(2\pi\alpha' q)^4\big) + \frac{6q}{5}(2\pi\alpha' q)^4 - \frac{8q}{45}(2\pi\alpha' q)^4 = 0 \ . \tag{4.12}$$

We can easily solve (4.12), obtaining the result $a = 1/5$. To obtain the mass eigenvalue for the equation (4.7), we diagonalize the time derivative operator through a field redefinition, which yields

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{f} \\ \tilde{g} \end{pmatrix} = \Bigg[\hat{\mathbf{1}} + 4(2\pi\alpha')^3\frac{\zeta(3)}{2\pi^3}q^2\begin{pmatrix} -\partial_t^2 + 4q^2x^2 & -4qx\partial_x \\ 0 & -\partial_t^2 - \partial_x^2 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{720}(2\pi\alpha' q)^4 \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{q^2}\partial_x^4 + \frac{1}{q^2}\partial_x^2\partial_t^2 + 4 & \frac{24}{q}\partial_x^2 + \frac{20}{q}\partial_t^2 + 8qx^2 \\ -\frac{6}{q}x\partial_x^3 - \frac{6}{q}\partial_x^2 + \frac{4}{q}x\partial_x\partial_t^2 + 8qx^3\partial_x & 8x^2\partial_x^2 - 4x^2\partial_t^2 - 16q^2x^4 - 68 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(q^6)\Bigg]\begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix} . \tag{4.13}$$

We obtain the equations of motion for the redefined field as

$$\Big(\hat{O}_0 + \hat{O}_2 + \hat{O}'_3 + \hat{O}_{4,1} + \hat{O}'_4\Big)\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{f} \\ \tilde{g} \end{pmatrix} = 0 \ , \tag{4.14}$$

where $\hat{O}'_4$ is the operator deformed from $\hat{O}_{4,2} + \hat{O}_{4,3}$ through the field redefinition and is given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{O}'_4 =& \frac{1}{180}(2\pi\alpha' q)^4 \\ & \Bigg[16q^2x^2\begin{pmatrix} -4 + 4x\partial_x + x^2\partial_x^2 & -12qx^2 - 2qx^3\partial_x \\ -2qx^2 + 2qx^3\partial_x & -4q^2x^4 \end{pmatrix} \\ & + 8\begin{pmatrix} -\partial_x^2 - 4x\partial_x^3 - x^2\partial_x^4 & 2q(2 + 13x\partial_x + 8x^2\partial_x^2 + x^3\partial_x^3) \\ 2q(-1 + x\partial_x - x^2\partial_x^2 - x^3\partial_x^3) & q^2(4x^2 + 16x^3\partial_x + 4x^4\partial_x^2) \end{pmatrix} \\ & + \frac{1}{q^2}\begin{pmatrix} \partial_x^6 & -12q\partial_x^4 - 2qx\partial_x^5 \\ -2q\partial_x^4 + 2qx\partial_x^5 & 4q^2(4\partial_x^2 - 4x\partial_x^3 - x^2\partial_x^4) \end{pmatrix} \\ & - \frac{1}{q}\begin{pmatrix} x\partial_x^5 - 13\partial_x^4 & 120q\partial_x^2 \\ \frac{1}{q}\partial_x^6 & -x\partial_x^5 - 18\partial_x^4 \end{pmatrix} \\ & - \frac{1}{q}\begin{pmatrix} q^2(48x\partial_x - 20x^3\partial_x^3 - 56x^2\partial_x^2 - 48) & q^3(32x^4\partial_x^2 + 128x^3\partial_x - 72x^2) \\ -12qx^2\partial_x^4 - 48qx\partial_x^3 - 28q\partial_x^2 & q^2(20\partial_x^3 + 124x^2\partial_x^2 + 88x\partial_x - 88) \end{pmatrix} \\ & - \frac{1}{q}\begin{pmatrix} 32q^4x^5\partial_x - 16q^4x^4 & -64q^5x^6 \\ 16q^3x^4\partial_x^2 + 64q^3x^3\partial_x - 24q^3x^2 & -32q^4x^5\partial_x - 176q^4x^4 \end{pmatrix}\Bigg] + \mathcal{O}(q^7) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

The time dependence of this solution remains unchanged under the field redefinition, and therefore we find the mass correction of this mode to be $a = 1/5$. The

corresponding eigen function is obtained as

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{f}_0^{\rm sol} &= \Bigg(1 - 8(2\pi\alpha' q)^3 \frac{\zeta(3)}{2\pi^3}(1+2qx^2) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{180}(2\pi\alpha' q)^4(1+12qx^2+4q^2x^4) + \mathcal{O}(q^6)\Bigg) e^{-qx^2} e^{im_0^{\rm sol}t} \ , \\ \tilde{g}_0^{\rm sol} &= -\Bigg(1 - 16(2\pi\alpha' q)^3 \frac{\zeta(3)}{2\pi^3} qx^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{90}(2\pi\alpha' q)^4(10-11qx^2+2q^2x^4) + \mathcal{O}(q^6)\Bigg) e^{-qx^2} e^{im_0^{\rm sol}t} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

Therefore, we obtain the correct string mass spectrum to be

$$\begin{aligned} m_0^2 &= -2q\left(1 - \frac{1}{3}(2\pi\alpha' q)^3 + \frac{1}{5}(2\pi\alpha' q)^5\right) + \mathcal{O}(q^6) \\ &= -\frac{\theta}{2\pi\alpha'} + \mathcal{O}(\theta^6) \end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

by considering the non-abelian Born-Infeld action up to order F^6 terms.

§5. Discussion

We have carried out a fluctuation analysis of the non-abelian Born-Infeld action proposed in Ref. 10), and we obtained the correct string mass spectrum up to order F^6 terms. This is the first nontrivial check of the non-abelian Born-Infeld action at order F^6, and this result indicates that the method proposed in Ref. 6) might be applicable to all orders in F. There might exist some clues for determining the non-abelian Born-Infeld action to all orders. In the action at order F^6, the terms with covariant derivatives cannot be eliminated, and we cannot employ the slowly varying field approximation to obtain a consistent low energy effective action. Our analysis might also justify this claim.

In this analysis, we have considered intersecting D-strings as a background. The fluctuation of the off-diagonal mode around this background can be analyzed in SU(2) Yang-Mills theory, and the mass spectrum of the fluctuation mode is identical up to $\mathcal{O}(\theta)$ with the well-known worldsheet result. We first considered the non-abelian Born-Infeld action with F^5 terms, and we obtained a mass spectrum of the off-diagonal fluctuation mode that is consistent with that found from the worldsheet analysis up to $\mathcal{O}(\theta^3)$. The eigen functions for the spectrum were also obtained. Next, we considered the non-abelian Born-Infeld correction for F^6 terms, and the mass for the lowest tachyonic mode has obtained correctly up to $\mathcal{O}(\theta^5)$. The corresponding eigen function was also obtained. In the analysis with the F^6 terms, the higher massive mode should be obtained correctly, but it is difficult to obtain because a naive ansatz like (3.11) does not satisfy the equations of motion. Therefore, to find the eigen function for the massive mode, it is necessary to employ another ansatz. The correct eigen function might give the correct mass spectrum. It may be interesting to look for the eigen function and check the resulting mass spectrum.

It is also desirable to carry out some other checks for this action. We considered the case in which there is one intersection angle here. Generalization to a higher dimensional intersecting D-branes system with two or more intersection angles may also be interesting.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to appreciate Alexander Sevrin and Alexander Wijns for kind and valuable communications. While this work was nearly completion, the author became aware of Ref. 14) which performs fluctuation analysis of the F^6 action in a system with constant background magnetic field. The author would like to thank Koji Hashimoto, Mitsuhiro Kato and Washington Taylor IV for useful discussions and comments. The author would also like to thank Koji Hashimoto for reading this manuscript.

Appendix A
—— Calculations of F^6 Terms ——

Here, we give the result of the fluctuation analysis term by term. The quantities $\tilde{L}_{4ij}$ denote the action quadratic in the fluctuations in the background intersecting brane system, which is obtained from the action $L_{4ij}(i = 0, 2, 4, j = 1, \cdots, 8)$. We carried out this calculation using Mathematica. We obtain the following results:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Str}\ \tilde{L}_{401} =& \frac{1}{5}(\dot{f}^2 + \dot{g}^2) - \Big(4fqg + (f' - 2qgx)^2\Big)\ , \\
\mathrm{Str}\ \tilde{L}_{402} =& -\frac{3}{20}(\dot{f}^2 + \dot{g}^2) + \frac{3}{4}\Big(4fqg + (f' - 2qgx)^2\Big)\ , \\
\mathrm{Str}\ \tilde{L}_{403} =& \frac{1}{40}(\dot{f}^2 + \dot{g}^2) - \frac{1}{8}\Big(4fqg + (f' - 2qgx)^2\Big)\ ,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Str}\ \tilde{L}_{421} =& \frac{2}{9}x^2(f'' - 2qg'x - 4qg)^2 + \frac{4}{9}q^2x^2(f - xf' + 2qgx^2)^2 \\
&+ \frac{1}{36q^2}(f''' - 2qg''x - 6qg')^2 - \frac{1}{18q^2}(\dot{f}'' - 2q\dot{g}'x)^2 - \frac{2}{9}x^2(\dot{f}' - 2q\dot{g}x)^2 \\
&+ \frac{8}{9}x^2\dot{f}q\dot{g} + \frac{1}{36q^2}(\ddot{f}' - 2\ddot{g}qx)^2 - \frac{1}{9q}\ddot{f}\ddot{g}\ , \\
\mathrm{Str}\ \tilde{L}_{422} =& -\frac{1}{9}x^2(f'' - 2qg'x - 4qg)^2 - \frac{2}{9}q^2x^2(f - xf' + 2qgx^2)^2 \\
&- \frac{1}{72q^2}(f''' - 2qg''x - 6qg')^2 + \frac{1}{36q^2}(\dot{f}'' - 2q\dot{g}'x)^2 + \frac{1}{9}x^2(\dot{f}' - 2q\dot{g}x)^2 \\
&- \frac{4}{9}x^2\dot{f}q\dot{g} - \frac{1}{72q^2}(\ddot{f}' - 2\ddot{g}qx)^2 + \frac{1}{18q}\ddot{f}\ddot{g}\ , \\
\mathrm{Str}\ \tilde{L}_{423} =& \frac{1}{18}(\dot{f}^2 + \dot{g}^2) + \frac{1}{9}\Big(4fqg + (f' - 2qgx)^2\Big) + \frac{2}{9}\dot{f}q\dot{g}x^2 + \frac{1}{18q}\dot{f}'\dot{g}'\ , \\
\mathrm{Str}\ \tilde{L}_{424} =& -\frac{1}{3}(\dot{f}^2 + \dot{g}^2)\ ,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Str } \tilde{L}_{425} =& 0 \ , \\
\text{Str } \tilde{L}_{426} =& -\frac{1}{6}(\dot{f}^2+\dot{g}^2)+\frac{2}{9}\dot{f}q\dot{g}x^2+\frac{1}{18q}\dot{f}'\dot{g}' \ , \\
\text{Str } \tilde{L}_{427} =& \frac{2}{9}(\dot{f}^2+\dot{g}^2)-\frac{8}{9}\dot{f}q\dot{g}x^2-\frac{2}{9q}\dot{f}'\dot{g}' \ , \\
\text{Str } \tilde{L}_{428} =& 0 \ ,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Str } \tilde{L}_{441} =& \frac{4}{45}x^2(f''-2qg'x-4qg)^2+\frac{8}{45}q^2x^2(f-xf'+2qgx^2)^2 \\
&+\frac{1}{90q^2}(f'''-2qg''x-6qg')^2-\frac{4}{45}(\dot{f}^2+\dot{g}^2)-\frac{1}{45q^2}(\dot{f}''-2q\dot{g}'x)^2 \\
&-\frac{4}{45}x^2(\dot{f}'-2q\dot{g}x)^2-\frac{8}{45}x^2\dot{f}q\dot{g}-\frac{6}{45q}\dot{f}'\dot{g}'+\frac{1}{90q^2}(\ddot{f}'-2\ddot{g}qx)^2 \ ,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Str } \tilde{L}_{442} =& 0 \ , \\
\text{Str } \tilde{L}_{443} =& -\frac{1}{15}(\dot{f}^2+\dot{g}^2)-\frac{4}{45}\dot{f}q\dot{g}x^2-\frac{1}{45q}\dot{f}'\dot{g}' \ , \\
\text{Str } \tilde{L}_{444} =& -\frac{1}{5}(\dot{f}^2+\dot{g}^2)-\frac{4}{15}\dot{f}q\dot{g}x^2-\frac{1}{15q}\dot{f}'\dot{g}' \ , \\
\text{Str } \tilde{L}_{445} =& \frac{16}{45}\dot{f}q\dot{g}x^2+\frac{4}{45q}\dot{f}'\dot{g}' \ , \\
\text{Str } \tilde{L}_{446} =& \frac{4}{45}(\dot{f}^2+\dot{g}^2) \ , \\
\text{Str } \tilde{L}_{447} =& \frac{2}{45}(\dot{f}^2+\dot{g}^2)+\frac{4}{45}\Big(4fqg+(f'-2qgx)^2\Big) \ , \\
&+\frac{8}{45}\dot{f}q\dot{g}x^2+\frac{2}{45q}\dot{f}'\dot{g}' \\
\text{Str } \tilde{L}_{448} =& 0 \ .
\end{aligned}$$

Summing up all the fluctuations, we obtain (4.5) and (4.6).

References

1) E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B **460** (1996), 335; hep-th/9510135.
2) A. A. Tseytlin, Nucl. Phys. B **276** (1986), 391; [Errata **291** (1987), 876]; Nucl. Phys. B **501** (1997), 41; hep-th/9701125.
3) M. Berkooz, M. R. Douglas and R. G. Leigh, Nucl. Phys. B **480** (1996), 265; hep-th/9606139.
4) A. Hashimoto and W. Taylor IV, Nucl. Phys. B **503** (1997), 193: hep-th/9703217.
5) F. Denef, A. Sevrin and J. Troost, Nucl. Phys. B **581** (2000), 135; hep-th/0002180 ; A. Sevrin, J. Troost and W. Troost, Nucl. Phys. B **603** (2001), 389; hep-th/0101192.
6) Lies De Fosse, Paul Koerber and Alexander Sevrin, Nucl. Phys. B **603** (2001), 413; hep-th/0103015.
7) P. Koerber and A. Sevrin, J. High Energy Phys. **10** (2001), 003; hep-th/0108169.
8) P. Koerber and A. Sevrin, J. High Energy Phys. **09** (2001), 009; hep-th/0109030.
9) R. Medina, F. T. Brandt and F. R. Machado, J. High Energy Phys. **07** (2002), 071, hep-th/0208121.

10) P. Koerber and A. Sevrin, J. High Energy Phys. **10** (2002), 046; hep-th/0208044.
11) K. Hashimoto and S. Nagaoka, J. High Energy Phys. **06** (2003), 034; hep-th/0303204.
12) A. V. Morosov, Phys. Lett. B **433** (1998), 291; hep-th/9803110.
13) A. Bilal, Nucl. Phys. B **618** (2001), 21; `hep-th/0106062`.
14) A. Sevrin and A. Wijns, hep-th/0306260.